

it not a hasty arrangement and a very erroneous arrangement in proceeding with this matter?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is not a hasty decision nor is this erroneous, because, recruitment will have to continue. Otherwise Government cannot run. So some arrangement had to be made. It is an interim arrangement.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it not a fact that we are doing a great injustice to the backward communities in trying to accept the interim arrangements?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—According to me there is no injustice done to the backward classes. It is with a view to give better justice to the backward classes that we are accepting the interim report.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂದಿಗಳ ಪಂಗಡಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಶೇಕಡ 25ರಷ್ಟು ಮೀಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಇದೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು ನಿಜವಾಗಿಯೂ ಅದರಂತೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Yes, Sir, it is a matter of opinion.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—When will the list be finalised?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—After the receipt of the final report and after final acceptance of it by the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.—ದಾ|| ನಾಗನಗೌಡ ಸಮಿತಿ ಯವರು ಇಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲ ತಮ್ಮ ಫೈನಲ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿಗದಿಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—No time has been prescribed but I expect it within a period of three months.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—In view of the fact that there are so many complaints and that the list is erroneous, do Government think of publishing the final list as early as possible?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I have already replied to that question.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Between the date when the High Court was pleased to make an order in regard to the erroneous nature of the classification and the date on which you accepted the interim report of Dr. Nagan Gowda, what is the number of appointments made by the Government and on what basis?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Government did not make any appointments during that period because the High Court

had given a certain decision. In order to avoid any difficulty we are waiting for the interim report. After that Government will take a decision.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is it not a fact that the criterion which goes to determine the backwardness is still under the consideration of the Government of India and that they are trying to evolve uniform standards for all the States?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Perhaps there is an announcement in the papers to that effect.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that before the High Court's decision more than 50 per cent of the seats were reserved for backward classes?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That is known to everybody.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Then, what justification is there to accept 25 per cent reservation now? Is it not a great injustice to the backward classes?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is a matter of individual opinion. It all depends upon the number of communities included in the interim report.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕಮಿಷಿಯ ಕೊನೆಯ ವರದಿ ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಇಂಟರಿಮ್ ವರದಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿರುವವರನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವಾಪಸ್ಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—All the orders which are there will be withdrawn and the new order will be brought into effect.

Underground Drainage Scheme for Bangalore.

*Q.—54. **Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU** (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there is a comprehensive Underground Drainage Scheme for Bangalore for treating the sewage disposal at the out-falls by installing Sewage Disposal Plants;

(b) the estimated cost of the scheme and at what stage it is pending;

(c) when such a scheme is likely to be taken up and by what agency;

(SRI V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU)

(d) whether the Central Government has earmarked any amount for installing such a Sewage Disposal Plant?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Rs. 138.82 lakhs. The question is under correspondence with the Government of India.

(c) It will be taken up as soon as approval of the Government of India is received and necessary orders are issued by the State Government. The agency for the execution of the work will be considered at the time of sanctioning the Scheme by the State Government.

(d) No.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU DAIDU.—Supplementary to (b): Since when this matter has been under correspondence and what is the reason for this inordinate delay?

†Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The scheme was forwarded to the Government of India on the 30th May 1959. It costs Rs. 138 and odd lakhs. Then they sent it back saying that they were not prepared to give subsidy and they also wanted further clarification on certain matters. With the available information we sent it back to the Government of India in January 1960, saying that the Corporation is entitled to a subsidy since this scheme helps the military of the Government of India. We have not heard thereafter.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—How does the Government propose to eradicate the mosquito nuisance until this scheme is put through?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—They have got a scheme costing a few lakhs. The Corporation Health Officers have prepared it and probably I expect them to implement it as an interim measure to remove the mosquito nuisance in the city.

Election of Directors of the Large Scale Society, Pavagada.

*Q.—63. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that the General Body of the Large Scale Society at Pavagada Town unanimously resolved to have elected directors in the year 1959;

(b) whether it is a fact that in spite of the resolution of the General Body, the Government have nominated all the directors and the President of the said Society;

(c) whether it is a fact that some of the nominated directors are defaulters to the Society and they were removed from the directorship by the Working Committee about 3 years ago;

(d) whether it is a fact that some of the nominated directors are not even share holders of the said Society;

(e) whether it is a fact that the President of the said Society smuggled six bags of sugar from the Society in 1959 and he was caught by the Inspector;

(f) if so, what is the result of action taken?

A.—Sri MALI MARIYAPPA (Minister for Co-operation).—

(a) Yes.

(b) As per bye-laws adopted by the General Body, the Registrar of Co-operative Societies has to nominate the first Board for two years.

(c) No.

(d) No.

(e) There has been an allegation to that effect and it is under enquiry.

(f) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (e).

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—‘ಎ’ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ “There has been an allegation to that effect and it is under enquiry” ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಒಂದೂವರೆ ವರ್ಷದಿಂದಲೂ ಎಣ್ಣೆಪುರಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ? ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲದಿಂದ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಲ ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ನುಮಾರು ಮೂರೂ ವರೆ ತಿಂಗಳಿಂದ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.